

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
02/26/2004	Norihisa Iga	Q80108	3409	
23373 7590 970702009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			LE, CANH	
N. DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2439		
		07/07/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	
ĺ	02/26/2004 07/07/2009 DN, PLLC VANIA AVENUE, N	0226/2004 Norihisa Iga 590 N., PLLC VANIA AVENUE, N.W.	0226/2004 Norihisa Iga Q80108  590 07/67/2009 EXAN DN, PLLC VANIA AVENUE, N.W. LE, C  ART UNIT 2439  MAIL DATE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) IGA, NORIHISA	
10/786,368		
Examiner	Art Unit	
CANH LE	2439	

•	Examino	Aironic	1			
	CANH LE	2439				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 ( periods:	he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this opication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request of Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.314. The reply must be filed within one of the following time priords:					
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.</li> </ul>						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07.  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origit than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);         (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);     </li> </ol>						
(b) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment						
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but Please, See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).     Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
15. [_] Oulei						
/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439						

The Applicant argues the following:

(a) Abe does not teach or suggest either the authentication of the personal computer 2 by the portable telephone 1, nor the use of software by the personal computer 2, involving the transfer of a condition of use of content the portable telephone to the personal computer 2.

(b) "Abe does not teach or suggest the personal computer 2 receiving a condition of use of content from the portable telephone

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant for the following reasons:

## Per (a):

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the authentication of the personal computer 2 by the portable telephone 1, nor the use of software by the personal computer 2, involving the transfer of a condition of use of content the portable telephone to the personal computer 2") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

## Per (b):

Abe positively teaches receiving condition of the use of content from a mobile external device [Satosht] par, [0023], fig. 3, par. [0037], fig. 5, "software usage license in the portable telephone or the upgrading action of the software is accompanied by a maintenance contract, the upgrading license or the software itself are downloaded through the portable telephone"; par. [0027], fig. 7; "software usage license in the memory of the portable telephone is present and the software is present in the memory or hard disk of the personal computer 2, it becomes usable (step 573); See also par. [0028-0029], "software usage (icense" is known as "condition of the use control of the use control of the use control of the use control of the use of the part of the part of the use of the part of the user of the u

The Examiner recommends that the Applicant amended the claims to distinguish over prior arts for further execution.